EECS 159A - INDIVIDUAL EXAM (FEEDBACK SURVEY)

Name: \_Ricardo Martinez\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_12-09-24

Project Name: \_Elderly Care Amazon Sidewalk (Life Alert Prime)\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

Primary Advisor Name: \_\_Mohamed Benomar El Kait\_\_\_\_\_\_



CONSIDERATIONS:

Consider your experience with teammates and your primary advisors during Fall Quarter. This portion of the exam is participation based. You will not be penalized for any answers here – it’s just required that you participate. Your answers will only be reviewed by the instructional team. Sharing this feedback with your teammates and advisor is optional; it is also highly recommended so that any issues that you have experienced during Fall may be addressed during Winter.

May we share this evaluation with your teammates?

May we share this evaluation with your primary advisor?



\_\_Yes\_

\_\_Yes\_

RUBRIC:



OVERALL TEAM ASSESSMENT:

Teamwork Content/Creativity

Sophisticated

Sophisticated

Competent

Competent

Needs Work

Needs Work

**What can you adjust as a team during Winter to help you make more progress on your project?**

\_We need to be better about communication. For my part I should communicate most of my issues directly into the group chat instead of to one person, but in general our group chat often goes ignored or takes too long for replies for effective communication. Planning and completing assigned tasks such as the fall project report are complicated due to lack of clear communication. As a team we also feel a little aimless at times with what is supposed to be being worked on immediately due to this poor coordination.\_\_



INDIVIDUAL ASSESSMENTS:

**Member Name: \_\_Khushi Gupta\_\_\_\_\_\_**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Notes: Usually took the initiative to reach out and email professor, Completed all tasks well. | | | | |
|  | Contribution | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
|  | Supporting Material | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
| **Member Name: \_Farrah Galal\_** | |  |  |  |
| Notes: On latter half of quarter was less responsive on group chat making it difficult to gauge work completed, despite disadvantage she was given by lack of proper resources (wrong microcontroller being used by the team) she is still on track to develop amazon sidewalk integration so only penalty is for the communication/responsiveness aspect. Would have liked a little more help on the fall project report. | | | | |
|  | Contribution | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
|  | Supporting Material | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
| **Member Name: \_\_\_N/A\_\_\_\_\_** | |  |  |  |
| Notes: | |  |  |  |
|  | Contribution | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
|  | Supporting Material | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
| **Member Name: \_\_\_N/A\_\_\_\_\_** | |  |  |  |
| Notes: | |  |  |  |
|  | Contribution | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |
|  | Supporting Material | Sophisticated | Competent | Needs Work |

ADVISOR ASSESSMENT:

**Advisor Name: \_\_Mohamed Benomar El Kati \_\_\_\_\_\_**

Notes: Is usually very helpful, makes sure to recommend ideas, help with solutions (designing LoRa pcb) but is sometimes slow to respond or unresponsive to emails that are time sensitive (new meeting time etc.)

**What was the agreed upon meeting frequency with your advisor at the beginning of the quarter?**

\_\_We agreed to meet once a week for the quarter, usually on a Wednesday \_

**How often did you end up meeting with your advisor & under what format (in-person, Zoom, email, etc)?**

\_With some exceptions due to availability, we met mostly one a week in person. We also contacted frequently on email both to arrange times to meet, ask questions for clarification.\_\_

**What was the most helpful part of these meetings with your primary advisor?**

\_Getting direct feedback from the advisor meetings on how to proceed next was very helpful. It was good to get a second opinion on direction for the project such as pivoting to a new microcontroller, reprioritizing our sections, and redefining project goals\_\_

**What can be adjusted in these meetings during Winter Quarter to be more useful for your team?**

\_More timely replies to emails would be appreciated as it would help with being able to be certain about when we meet and when we can’t meet. Mostly this applies to no replies when rescheduling a meeting or late replies regarding issues that arise. \_\_

**Overall, how would you rate your experience with your advisor?**

Meeting Interactions Sophisticated Competent Needs Work



ADDITIONAL NOTES:

REFERENCE (BASED ON CMU GROUP PROJECT TEACHING ASSESSMENT):



TEAMWORK



SOPHISTICATED

The team worked well together to achieve objectives. Each member contributed in a valuable way to the project. All data sources indicated a high level of mutual respect and collaboration.



COMPETENT

The team worked well together most of the time, with only a few occurrences of communication breakdown or failure to collaborate when appropriate. Members were mostly respectful of each other.



NEEDS WORK

Team did not collaborate or communicate well. Some members would work independently, without regard to objectives or priorities. A lack of respect and regard was frequently noted.



CONTENT/CREATIVITY



SOPHISTICATED

The demonstration was imaginative and effective in conveying ideas to the audience.



COMPETENT

The demonstration techniques used were effective in conveying main ideas, but a bit unimaginative.



NEEDS WORK

The demonstration failed to capture the interest of the audience and/or is confusing in what was communicated.



CONTRIBUTION



SOPHISTICATED

All requirements and objectives are identified, evaluated and competed. The deliverable offered new information or approach to the topic under discussion. Likewise, the application is based on stated criteria, analysis and constraints.



COMPETENT

All requirements are identified and evaluated but some objectives are not completed. The deliverable offered some new information or approach to the topic under discussion. The application is reasonable; further analysis of some of the alternatives or constraints may have led to a different recommendation.



NEEDS WORK

Many requirements and objectives are not identified, evaluated and/or completed. The deliverable offered no new information or approach to the topic under discussion. Few application considerations are analyzed and other factors were ignored or incompletely analyzed.

SUPPORTING MATERIAL



SOPHISTICATED

All relevant information was obtained and information sources were valid. Analysis and design considerations were well supported by the information.



COMPETENT

Sufficient information was obtained and most sources were valid. Analysis and design considerations were mostly supported by the information



NEEDS WORK

Insufficient information was obtained and/or sources lack validity. Analysis and design considerationswere not supported by the information collected.